UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CIVIL ACTION NO.: 05-10842-WGY

GERTRUDE GOROD,
Plaintiff,

V.

MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL
HOSPITAL, DR. WILLIAM BINDER,
JAMES W. MCCARTHY, ERIK
NORENIKA, SUSAN WARCHAL,
DIPLOMAT, CARUSO MUSIC,
LAWRENCE CARUSO,
Defendants.

Defendants.

MOTION OF THE DEFENDANTS TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR FAILURE TO POST BOND

Now come the defendants in the above-captioned matter, and move this honorable Court pursuant to M.G.L. Ch. 231, §60B for an Order dismissing the plaintiff's Complaint for her failure to post the \$12,000 bond required by the Suffolk County Superior Court.

As grounds therefore, the defendants state as follows:

- This is an action for malpractice, error or mistake within the terms of M.G.L.
 Ch. 231, §60B.
- 2. Pursuant to that statute, a medical malpractice tribunal was convened by the Suffolk County Superior Court on October 24, 2005. This case had been transferred to the Suffolk County Superior Court from the United States District Court for purposes of convening the medical malpractice tribunal, as requested by the defendants.

- 3. The plaintiff failed to appear at the medical malpractice tribunal, and failed to file an Offer of Proof with the Court as required by M.G.L. Ch. 231, §60B. After argument by counsel for the defendants, the tribunal found in favor of each of the defendants by Order dated October 24, 2005. (See Finding of Medical Malpractice Tribunal dated October 24, 2005, attached hereto as Exhibit 1). Pursuant to that Order, the Court required that the plaintiff post a bond in the amount of \$12,000 in order to proceed with her case.
- 4. By Order dated December 13, 2005 by the Suffolk County Superior Court, this matter was transferred back to the United States District Court. The Order transferring the matter back to the United States District Court indicated that no payment had been made by the plaintiff satisfying the Court's Order of October 24, 2005 (see Order of Suffolk County Superior Court dated December 13, 2005, attached hereto as Exhibit 2).
- 5. Pursuant to M.G.L. Ch. 231, §60B, the plaintiff had thirty (30) days in which to file the requisite bond or face dismissal of her Complaint.
- 6. Since more than thirty (30) days have elapsed, pursuant to the provisions of M.G.L. Ch. 231, §60B, the plaintiff's Complaint must be dismissed.

WHEREFORE, the defendants respectfully request that the plaintiff's Complaint be dismissed for her failure to post the \$12,000 bond required by this Court.

Respectfully submitted,

The Defendants,

By their Attorney,

Curtis R. Diedrich, BBO#555937

Sloane & Walsh, LLP Three Center Plaza Boston, MA 02108 (617) 523-6010

Dated: January 3, 2006

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Curtis R. Diedrich, hereby certify that on this 3rd day of January, 2006, I served a copy of the within by mailing a copy of same, postage prepaid, to the following:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

Gertrude Gorod, Pro Se P.O. Box 856 Everett, MA 02149

Curtis R. Diedrich Sc

EXHIBIT "1"

Case 1:05-cv-10842-WGY Document 17-2 Filed 01/05/2006 Page \$40360- 9436

Mating COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

10.31.05 10.260 D

SUFFOLK, SS.

SUPERIOR COURT CIVIL ACTION NO. 05-2334-E

Notice sent 10/26/2005

C. R. D.

S. & W. G. G.

(sc)

GERTRUDE GOROD,
Plaintiff

<u>vs.</u>

MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL et al.,
Defendants

<u>Order</u>

A medical malpractice tribunal was conducted today in this case in accordance with G.L. c. 231, § 60B, at which the undersigned judge was the judicial member. The plaintiff, who is appearing *pro se*, neither appeared at the tribunal nor submitted an offer of proof. In view of this failure of proof, the tribunal found in favor of the defendants and the plaintiff therefore must file a bond with the Clerk of Court to avoid dismissal of her complaint. See G.L. c. 231, § 60B. Defense counsel orally moved at the hearing that the amount of the bond be more than the \$6,000 mandated by the statute, citing <u>Denton</u> v. <u>Beth Israel Hospital</u>, 392 Mass. 277 (1984). In view of the principles set forth in <u>Denton</u>, this Court finds, in its discretion, that the amount of the bond that should be filed in this action is \$12,000, twice the statutory amount.

This Court therefore **ORDERS** that this action shall be dismissed if the plaintiff fails, within thirty days of this Order, to post a bond in the amount of \$12,000.

Ralph D. Gants

Justice of the Superior Court

DATE: October 24, 2005

10.26/

CIVIL DOCKET# SUCV2005-02334

fribunal Member

*Physician - Tribunal Member

*Health Care Provider - Tribunal Member

	Gertrude Gorod	Notice sent 10/26/2005	
	Massachusetts General Hospital FINDING OF THE MEDICAL MALPRACTICE TRIBUNAL (Pursuant to M.G.L. Chapter 231, Section 60(b) as amended)	C. R. D. S. & W. G. G.	(sc)
	The above entitled action came on for hearing before the appointed media 10/24/2005, and was argued by counsel, and thereupon, upon consideration the plaintiff(s) offer of proof, pleadings, hospital records and other documents present tribunal finds:	reof, the	
	*(1) For the plaintiff(s)and evidence presented if properly substantiated is sufficient to raise a legitim of liability appropriate for judicial inequiry.	that the ate question	
Mr	(2) Tot determined to the state of the book	use the on is merely	
	If a finding is made for the defendant or defendants in the above entitled at the plaintiff may pursue the claim through the usual judicial process only upond in the amount of Six Thousand (\$6,000.00) Dollars in the aggregate set or its equivalent with the Clerk/Magistrate of this court, payable to the defendant in said action for costs assessed, including witness and expert fees and attorney plaintiff(s) does/do not prevail in the final judgment. The presiding justice may, with discretion, increase the amount of the bond required to be filed. If said bond is rewithin thirty (30) days of the tribunal's finding the action shall be dismissed motion filed by the plaintiff(s), and a determination by the court that the plaintiff(s indigent said justice may reduce the amount of the bond but may not eliminate the thereof.	pon filing cured by cash or defendants s fees if the ithing his/her not posted L Upon) is/are	
	Dated: 10/24/2005		
	Justice of the Superior Court Associate Justice of the Superior	or Court Dept	

*strike inapplicable words

Legal Member:

Medical Member:

10.20r

CIVIL DOCKET# SUCV2005-02334

Gertrude Gorod vs.		Notice sent 10/26/2005
Erik Norenika FINDING OF THE MEDICAL (Pursuant to M.G.L. Chapter 2)		
The above entitled action came on for he 10/24/2005, and was argued by counsel, and the plaintiff(s) offer of proof, pleadings, hospital rectribunal finds:	nereupon, upon consideration	n thereof, the
*(1) For the plaintiff(s) evidence presented if properly substantion of liability appropriate for judicial inquiry.	•	nd that the gitimate question
*(2) For defendant(s) <u>Euk Nore</u> plaintiff(s) an unfortunate medical result.	en ke t	pecause the action is merely
If a finding is made for the defendant or the plaintiff may pursue the claim through the bond in the amount of Six Thousand (\$6,000 or its equivalent with the Clerk/Magistrate of this in said action for costs assessed, including with plaintiff(s) does/do not prevail in the final judgm discretion, increase the amount of the bond requithin thirty (30) days of the tribunal's findin motion filed by the plaintiff(s), and a determinating indigent said justice may reduce the amount of thereof.	ne usual judicial process or .00) Dollars in the aggregate court, payable to the defendess and expert fees and attoent. The presiding justice maured to be filed. If said bong the action shall be disminion by the court that the plain	nly upon filing te secured by cash dant or defendants rneys fees if the ty, withing his/her d is not posted ssed. Upon htiff(s) is/are
Dated: 10/24/2005		
Justice of the Superior Court	Associate Justice of the Si	Luperior Court Dept.
Legal Member:	Millen Fel	Tribunal Member
Medical Member:	1 the	- Tribunal Member

cm

*strike inapplicable words

10.760

CIVIL DOCKET# SUCV2005-02334

	Gertrude Gorod	Notice sent 10/26/2005
	Dr William Binder FINDING OF THE MEDICAL MALPRACTICE TRIBUNAL (Pursuant to M.G.L. Chapter 231, Section 60(b) as amended)	C. R. D. S. & W. G. G.
	The above entitled action came on for hearing before the appointed medical trib 10/24/2005, and was argued by counsel, and thereupon, upon consideration thereof, to plaintiff(s) offer of proof, pleadings, hospital records and other documents presented, to tribunal finds:	he (sc)
	*(1) For the plaintiff(s) and that the evidence presented if properly substantiated is sufficient to raise a legitimate que of liability appropriate for judicial inquiry.	le lestion
W	*(2) For defendant(s) <u>Dr. Walton Binder</u> because t plaintiff(s)) action is an unfortunate medical result.	
	If a finding is made for the defendant or defendants in the above entitled action the plaintiff may pursue the claim through the usual judicial process only upon find bond in the amount of Six Thousand (\$6,000.00) Dollars in the aggregate secured or its equivalent with the Clerk/Magistrate of this court, payable to the defendant or defin said action for costs assessed, including witness and expert fees and attorneys fees plaintiff(s) does/do not prevail in the final judgment. The presiding justice may, withing discretion, increase the amount of the bond required to be filed. If said bond is not powithin thirty (30) days of the tribunal's finding the action shall be dismissed. Upon motion filed by the plaintiff(s), and a determination by the court that the plaintiff(s) is/are indigent said justice may reduce the amount of the bond but may not eliminate the required.	by cash endants if the his/her osted n
	Justice of the Superior Court Associate Justice of the Superior Court Associate Justice of the Superior Court	urt Dept
	Legal Member: Willey Fally Attorney - Tribunal	·
	Medical Member: // Who wo *Physician - Tribunal*	
	*Strike inapplicable words	Me/mber>

10760

CIVIL DOCKET# SUCV2005-02334

	Gertrude Gorod		Notice sent 10/26/2005
	James W McCarthy FINDING OF THE MEDICAL MA (Pursuant to M.G.L. Chapter 231,		C. R. D. S. & W. G. G.
	The above entitled action came on for heari 10/24/2005, and was argued by counsel, and there plaintiff(s) offer of proof, pleadings, hospital record tribunal finds:	eupon, upon consideration there	of, the
	*(1) For the plaintiff(s) evidence presented if properly substantiated of liability appropriate for judicial inquiry.	an and is sufficient to raise a legitimat	ed that the
En	*(2) For defendant(s) Jam McCaplaintiff(s) merely an unfortunate medical result.	be ac	ecause the etion is
	If a finding is made for the defendant or defethe plaintiff may pursue the claim through the unbond in the amount of Six Thousand (\$6,000.00) or its equivalent with the Clerk/Magistrate of this coin said action for costs assessed, including witness plaintiff(s) does/do not prevail in the final judgment discretion, increase the amount of the bond require within thirty (30) days of the tribunal's finding the motion filed by the plaintiff(s), and a determination indigent said justice may reduce the amount of the thereof.	sual judicial process only upon Dollars in the aggregate secturt, payable to the defendant or and expert fees and attorneys to The presiding justice may, with to be filed. If said bond is not action shall be dismissed. By the court that the plaintiff(s) is	on filing ured by cash defendants fees if the ning his/her ot posted Upon s/are
	Dated: 10/24/2005		
	Justice of the Superior Court	Kup O Jet spociate Justice of the Superior	Court Dept.
	Legal Member:	Milleun Foley Attorney - Tribu	ınal Member
	Medical Member:	*Physician - Tribu	<i>O</i> Inal Member
	*strike inapplicable words	* I/ealth Càre Provider / Trib u	inal Member

Commonwealth of Massachusetts

Notice sent 10/26/2005 County of Suffolk
The Superior Court

10-760

C. R. D.

S. & W.

G. G.

(sc)

CIVIL DOCKET# SUCV2005-02334

Gertrude Gorod

VS.

Susan Warchal

FINDING OF THE MEDICAL MALPRACTICE TRIBUNAL

(Pursuant to M.G.L. Chapter 231, Section 60(b) as amended)

The above entitled action came on for hearing before the appointed medical tribunal on 10/24/2005, and was argued by counsel, and thereupon, upon consideration thereof, the plaintiff(s) offer of proof, pleadings, hospital records and other documents presented, the tribunal finds:

*((1) For the plaintiff(s)			and	that the	
e	vidence presented if pro	perly substanti	ated is sufficient	to raise a legiti	mate question	
o)	f Hability appropriate for	judicial inquiry.			·	
*((2) For defendant(s)	vika WX	Refal	bed	cause the	_
Mar / pi	laintiff(s)			ac	ction is merely	
(aı	n unfortunate medical re	esult.				
***************************************				·		

If a finding is made for the defendant or defendants in the above entitled action the plaintiff may pursue the claim through the usual judicial process only upon filing bond in the amount of Six Thousand (\$6,000.00) Dollars in the aggregate secured by cash or its equivalent with the Clerk/Magistrate of this court, payable to the defendant or defendants in said action for costs assessed, including witness and expert fees and attorneys fees if the plaintiff(s) does/do not prevail in the final judgment. The presiding justice may, withing his/her discretion, increase the amount of the bond required to be filed. If said bond is not posted within thirty (30) days of the tribunal's finding the action shall be dismissed. Upon motion filed by the plaintiff(s), and a determination by the court that the plaintiff(s) is/are indigent said justice may reduce the amount of the bond but may not eliminate the requirement thereof.

Dated: 10/24/2005

Justice of the Superior Court

Legal Member:

Medical Member:

Associate Justice of the Superior Court Dept

Attorney - Tribunal Member

*Physician - Tribunal Member *Health & Are/Proylder - Tribunal/Member

*strike inapplicable words

EXHIBIT "2"

Case 1:05-cv-10842-WGY Document 16 Filed 12/16/2005 Page 1 of 2 05-10842-Way

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

SUFFOLK, ss.

SUPERIOR COURT CIVIL ACTION NO: 2005-2334E

Gertrude Gorod

PLAINTIFF

٧.

Massachusetts General Hospital et al. DEFENDANT

ORDER

AFTER A MEDICAL MALPRACTICE TRIBUNAL HEARING IN SUFFOLK SUPERIOR COURT:

THE ABOVE ENTITLED ACTION IS HEREBY ORDERED RETURNED TO

THE United States District Court : DOCKET #05-10842-WGY

(SEE P. 16 NO PAYMENT HAS BEEN MADE IN SUFFOLK SUPERIOR COURT)

BY THE COURT, (Botsford, J.)

DATED: December 13, 2005 Matrie Sent 12/13/05

G.G.

S+W - CR.D.

Case 1:05-cv-10842-WGY), Drockment 16 F

Filed 12/16/2005

Page 2 of 2

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

10 76 16

SUFFOLK, SS.

SUPERIOR COURT CIVIL ACTION NO. 05-2334-E

Notice sent 10/26/2005

C. R. D.

S. & W. G. G. GERTRUDE GOROD,
Plaintiff

<u>vs</u>.

(sc)

MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL et al., Defendants

<u>Order</u>

A medical malpractice tribunal was conducted today in this case in accordance with G.L. c. 231, § 60B, at which the undersigned judge was the judicial member. The plaintiff, who is appearing pro se, neither appeared at the tribunal nor submitted an offer of proof. In view of this failure of proof, the tribunal found in favor of the defendants and the plaintiff therefore must file a bond with the Clerk of Court to avoid dismissal of her complaint. See G.L. c. 231, § 60B.

Defense counsel orally moved at the hearing that the amount of the bond be more than the \$6,000 mandated by the statute, citing Denton v. Beth Israel Hospital, 392 Mass. 277 (1984). In view of the principles set forth in Denton, this Court finds, in its discretion, that the amount of the bond that should be filed in this action is \$12,000, twice the statutory amount.

This Court therefore **ORDERS** that this action shall be dismissed if the plaintiff fails, within thirty days of this Order, to post a bond in the amount of \$12,000.

Ralph'D. Gants

Justice of the Superior Court

DATE: October 24, 2005

Case 1:05-cv-10842-WGY Document 17-3 Filed 01/05/2006 Page 4 of 7

Case 1:05-cv-10842-WGY

Document 16 Filed 12/16/2005

Page 1 of 4

MASXP-20050816 carangel

Commonwealth of Massachusetts SUFFOLK SUPERIOR COURT Case Summary **Civil Docket**

12/13/2005 02:10 PM

SUCV2005-02334 Gorod v Massachusetts General Hospital et al

File Date

06/09/2005

Status

Disposed: transfered to other court (dtrans)

Z01 - Med malpractice hrg only (231,60B)

Status Date

12/13/2005

Session

E - Civil E, 3 Pemberton Sq, Boston

Origin

91

Case Type

Lead Case

Track

Service

Answer

Rule12/19/20

Rule 15

Discovery

Rule 56

Final PTC

Disposition 01/05/2006

Jury Trial

Yes

PARTIES

Plaintiff Gertrude Gorod P O Box 856 Everett, MA 02149 Active 06/09/2005 Notify

Defendant

Massachusetts General Hospital Answered: 06/09/2005 Answered 06/09/2005

Defendant

Dr William Binder Answered: 06/09/2005 Answered 06/09/2005

Defendant

James W McCarthy Answered: 06/09/2005 Answered 06/09/2005

Private Counsel 555937

Curtis R Diedrich Stoane & Walsh 3 Center Plaza 8th Floor

Boston, MA 02108 Phone: 617-523-2950 Fax: 617-227-0927 Active 06/09/2005 Notify

*** See Attorney Information Above ***

*** See Attorney Information Above ***

Case 1:05-cv-10842-WGY Document 16 Commonwealth of Massachusetts SUFFOLK SUPERIOR COURT

Filed 12/16/2005 Page 2 of 4

12/13/2005 02:10 PM

carangel

Case Summary **Civil Docket**

SUCV2005-02334

Gorod v Massachusetts General Hospital et al

*** See Attorney Information Above *** Defendant Erik Norenika Answered: 06/09/2005 Answered 06/09/2005 *** See Attorney Information Above *** Defendant Susan Warchal Answered: 06/09/2005 Answered 06/09/2005 Defendant Diplomat Service pending 06/09/2005 Defendant Caruso Music Service pending 06/09/2005 Defendant Lawrence Caruso Service pending 06/09/2005 ENTRIES Date Paper Text 06/09/2005 Medical malpractice hearing only (231,60B); docket #05-10842-WGY pending in U.S. District Court 06/09/2005 Origin 91, Type Z01, Track X. 06/09/2005 1.0 Copy of Complaint & Jury demand 06/09/2005 2.0 Copy of ANSWER & Jury demand: Defendant Massachusetts General Hospital (all issues)

Copy of ANSWER & Jury demand: Defendant Dr William Binder (all issues)

3.0

06/09/2005

MASXP-20050816 carangel

Case 1:05-cv-10842-WGY Document 16 Filed 12/16/2005 Commonwealth of Massachusetts SUFFOLK SUPERIOR COURT Case Summary

12/13/2005 02:10 PM

Page 3 of 4

Case Summary Civil Docket

SUCV2005-02334 Gorod v Massachusetts General Hospital et al

		Gorod v Massachusetts General Hospital et al
Date	Paper	Text
06/09/2005	4.0	Copy of ANSWER & Jury demand: Defendant James W McCarthy (all issues)
06/09/2005	5.0	Copy of ANSWER & Jury demand: Defendant Erik Norenika (all issues)
06/09/2005	6.0	Copy of ANSWER & Jury demand: Defendant Susan Warchai (all issues)
06/09/2005	7.0	Copy of Defendant Massachusetts General Hospital, Dr William Binder,
		James W McCarthy, Erik Norenika & Susan Warchal's MOTION to Transfer
		the claims against them to the Massachusetts Superior Court for the
		purpose of convening a Medical Malpractice Tribunal pursuant to
		M.G.L. Ch. 231, Sec. 60B - Motion Allowed. Case ordered
		administratively closed until the report of the medical malpractice
		tribunal is received. (William G. Young, Chief Judge)
06/09/2005	8.0	Copy of ORDER: Pursuant to the Order entered June 6, 2005, this case
		is hereby referred to the Massachusetts Superior Court for the
		purpose of convening a medical malpractice tribunal. (Young, C.J.)
06/09/2005	9.0	Attested copy of docket entries received from U.S. District Crt
06/09/2005	10.0	Civil action cover sheet re: Malpractice
10/31/2005	11.0	Medical malpractice tribunal report: as to Massachusetts General
		Hospital that there is not sufficient evidence to raise a legitimate
		question as to liability appropriate for judicial inquiry. (Ralph
		Gants, Justice) Copies mailed 10/26/2005 (entered 10/24/05)
10/31/2005	12.0	Medical malpractice tribunal report: as to Erik Norenika that there
		is not sufficient evidence to raise a legitimate question as to
		liability appropriate for judicial inquiry. (Ralph Gants, Justice)
		Copies mailed 10/26/2005 (entered 10/24/05)
10/31/2005	13.0	Medical maipractice tribunal report: as to Dr William Binder that
		there is not sufficient evidence to raise a legitImate question as to
		liability appropriate for judicial inquiry. (Ralph Gants, Justice)
		Copies mailed 10/26/2005 (entered 10/24/05)
10/31/2005	14.0	Medical malpractice tribunal report: as to James W McCarthy that
		there is not sufficient evidence to raise a legitimate question as to
		liability appropriate for judicial inquiry. (Ralph Gants, Justice)
		Copies mailed 10/26/2005 (entered 10/24/05)
10/31/2005	15.0	Medical malpractice tribunal report: as to Susan Warchal that there
		is not sufficient evidence to raise a legitimate question as to
		liability appropriate for judicial inquiry. (Ralph Gants, Justice)
		Copies mailed 10/26/2005 (entered 10/24/05)
10/31/2005	16.0	ORDER that this action shall be dismissed if the plff fails, within
		3o days of this order, to post a bond in the amount of \$12,000.00
		(Raiph Gants, Justice) (entered 10/24/05) notice sent 10/26/05
12/13/2005	17.0	ORDER: After a Medical Malpractice Tribunal hearing in Suffolk
		Superior Court: The above entitled action is hereby Ordered returned
		to the United States District Court: Docker #05-10842-WGY, (Botsford,
		J.) Notice sent 12/13/05
12/13/2005		TRANSFERRED TO USDC

Page 7 of 7 Case 1:05-cv-10842-WGY Document 17-3 Filed 01/05/2006

Case 1:05-cv-10842-WGY

Document 16 Filed 12/16/2005

Page 4 of 4

-MASXP-20050816 carangel

Commonwealth of Massachusetts SUFFOLK SUPERIOR COURT Case Summary Civil Docket

12/13/2005 02:10 PM

SUCV2005-02334

Gorod v Massachusetts General Hospital et al

Date

Session

Event

Result

10/24/2005

Civil E, 3 Pemberton Sq, Boston Malpractice tribunal

held in Room 1017

Event held as scheduled